

Correction

Correction: Wan et al. Hydrodynamic Performance and Energy Capture Characteristics of a Floating Inner Rotor Wave Energy Device. *Marine Energy Research* 2025, 2, 10008

Chang Wan ^{1,2}, Zhenghao He ², Can Yang ^{1,2,*}, Wanqing Zhang ² and Lars Johanning ^{1,2,3,*}

¹ College of Shipbuilding Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China; changwan@hrbeu.edu.cn (C.W.)

² Yantai Research Institute of Harbin Engineering University, Yantai 264000, China; hezhenghao2024@163.com (Z.H.); zhangwanqing@jonhon.cn (W.Z.)

³ College of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics, University of Plymouth, Plymouth Campus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK

* Corresponding author. E-mail: cyang@hrbeu.edu.cn or chuanyuan@hrbeu.edu.cn (C.Y.); lars.johanning@plymouth.ac.uk (L.J.)

Received: 6 August 2025; Accepted: 10 September 2025; Available online: 24 September 2025



© 2025 The authors. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

With the agreement of all co-authors, corrections have been made to this article [1] to ensure proper attribution of collaborative contributions and compliance with academic integrity standards. The changes are as follows:

1. Main Text Changes

Section 2.1, first paragraph, second sentence, added: “The device was proposed by Xue and Liu et al. from Shandong University [10,23,24].”

Section 3.1, first paragraph, third sentence, added: “The experiment was conducted by Xue et al. from Shandong University [10,23,24].”

2. Figures and Tables Changed

Figure 3 caption updated as “**Figure 3.** Experiment setup. (a) The physical prototype [23] (b) Mooring type (top view) [10].”

Table 1, third row and first column, added reference: “Experimental model [23]”.

Table 1. Comparison of numerical and experimental wave parameters.

Regular Wave Cases	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
Numerical model	$H = 1 \text{ m}, T = 4.25 \text{ s}$	$H = 1.5 \text{ m}, T = 4.47 \text{ s}$	$H = 2 \text{ m}, T = 4.7 \text{ s}$	$H = 2.5 \text{ m}, T = 4.92 \text{ s}$
Experimental model [23]	$H = 0.2 \text{ m}, T = 1.9 \text{ s}$	$H = 0.3 \text{ m}, T = 2 \text{ s}$	$H = 0.4 \text{ m}, T = 2.1 \text{ s}$	$H = 0.5 \text{ m}, T = 2.2 \text{ s}$

3. Added Acknowledgments

Special thanks go to Yanjun Liu, Gang Xue, and their research team at Shandong University for providing valuable experimental data and results that contributed to validating our numerical simulations. The authors also wish to express their sincere gratitude to CIMC Offshore Engineering Research Institute Co., Ltd. for their institutional support, and Dahui Liu for his valuable technical guidance. We greatly appreciate their support and collaboration.

4. Added References

Added references [23,24] as follows:

1. Xue G, Zhang Z, Qin J, Huang S, Liu Y. Control Parameters Optimization of Accumulator in Hydraulic Power Take-Off System for Eccentric Rotating Wave Energy Converter. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *11*, 792.
2. Xue G, Liu Y, Huang S, Xue Y, Qin J, Zhang Z. Effect of mooring mode and internal mass block layout on eccentric rotating wave energy converter model. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part A J. Power Energy* **2022**, *236*, 1370–1388.

The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.

Reference

1. Wan C, He Z, Yang C, Zhang W, Johanning L. Hydrodynamic Performance and Energy Capture Characteristics of a Floating Inner Rotor Wave Energy Device. *Marine Energy Res.* **2025**, *2*, 10008. doi:10.70322/mer.2025.10008.