# Article Repurposed Ovens for Space Heating Following Global Catastrophic Infrastructure Loss: Methods and Efficiency Calculations

Linto Jose<sup>1</sup>, Milan Raxworthy<sup>1</sup>, Baxter Kamana-Williams<sup>1,\*</sup>, Cole Hansen<sup>2</sup> and David Denkenberger<sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Canterbury, Christchurch 8041, New Zealand; ljo117@uclive.ac.nz (L.J.); milan.raxworthy@gmail.com (M.R.); david.denkenberger@canterbury.ac.nz (D.D.)
- <sup>2</sup> Alliance to Feed the Earth in Disasters (ALLFED), Lafayette, CO 37209, USA; coleshansen@gmail.com (C.H.)
- \* Corresponding author. E-mail: baxter.williams@pg.canterbury.ac.nz (B.K.-W.)

Received: 22 April 2025; Accepted: 1 July 2025; Available online: 8 July 2025

**ABSTRACT:** Global catastrophic infrastructure loss (GCIL) would disrupt energy supply networks, prohibiting heating in houses reliant on electricity or piped natural gas. In such situations, buildings in cold climates would require alternative heating methods, as space heating is critical to survival. This work assesses the viability of converting household appliances to wood-burning stoves and the scalability of such conversions in the event of a catastrophe. A standard residential electrical oven was converted to a wood-burning stove, using tools and materials likely to be readily available following GCIL, and tested by burning a total of 9.1 kg of pine wood and kindling. The conversion was successful, with an average useful heat output of 2.6 kW, showing the viability of ovens as wood-burning stoves for space heating. It is expected that such conversions could be completed in under one day, given sufficient availability of tools, materials, and labour. Global supplies of ovens, tools, materials, and fuel are expected to be sufficient for widespread conversion of ovens to wood-burning stoves, assuming international collaboration. However, international collaboration may be limited following GCIL, so countries should develop individual response plans accounting for this limitation, and knowledge should be disseminated ahead of time, or backup communication systems put in place.

Keywords: Alternative heating; Catastrophe resilience; Energy systems; Existential risk; Global catastrophic risk



© 2025 The authors. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

In the event of global catastrophic infrastructure loss (GCILs) resulting from events such as extreme solar storms, cyber-attacks, high-altitude electromagnetic pulses (HEMPs), or pandemics (resulting from people's inability or unwillingness to report to work at critical industries), modern society could face a severe disruption in electricity and fossil fuel production [1]. These disruptions would cause cascading collapses of industrial civilisation, affecting food, water, and other needs. Such collapses could also contribute to an unrecoverable collapse of civilisation, thus constituting an existential risk [2]. Previous work has analysed interventions in GCIL scenarios to provide food [3,4], and water [5]; less studied is the resilience of space heating to GCIL, which would prohibit the use of heating methods dependent on electricity or gas networks. This loss would pose a threat to human survival, especially in cold climates where heating is essential for survival.

The majority of heating in developed countries, such as New Zealand [6] and the United States [7], relies on electricity or natural gas supply networks, which would not function in a GCIL scenario, and many other space heaters also have electric controls. Available heating methods that require electricity or piped gas typically involve the combustion of wood or kerosene [8], but these heating methods are found in few homes [6,9,10]. Furthermore, kerosene production could be halted in a GCIL scenario, making it a less viable long-term post-GCIL heating solution.

Some short-term interventions exist for mitigating heat loss immediately following a GCIL event, including the use of warm clothing and sleeping bags, shared mattresses for retaining bodily warmth, and consolidating in better-

insulated areas of the home. High-performance sleeping bags are rated to ambient temperatures as low as -20 °C [11], and a combination of these techniques is likely to approach similar levels of effectiveness.

Medium-term interventions could involve consolidating families into a smaller number of buildings, which would provide more self-heating. Salvaged insulation could be added to the exterior walls of these full houses, and the building insulation consolidated to insulate a smaller number of rooms better. Unused rooms could then be closed off, windows and pipes insulated, and thermal curtains installed to reduce heat loss [12].

However, these methods do not provide viable long-term solutions, as the short-term interventions are highly restrictive, and the medium-term interventions would still require a heat source in cold climates. Additionally, increased housing density can increase the rate of viral transmission [13] and would thus be unviable in the event of pandemics. Thus, longer-term heating solutions would be required to ensure survival in cold climates in GCIL scenarios.

During periods when gas and/or electricity infrastructure has been limited in cold climates, such as during war, heating has been limited primarily to fireplaces and wood-burning stoves, such as potbelly stoves [14,15]. While burning wood would provide a long-term solution to heating following GCIL events, most modern homes do not contain fireplaces or wood-burning stoves [6,9,10]. However, some common household appliances, such as ovens, share key characteristics with fireplaces, such as the ability to withstand high temperatures [16], so it could be possible to convert household appliances to simple wood-burning stoves.

# 1.1. Literature Review

A growing body of research has assessed civilisational response to global catastrophes, as preventive measures are not guaranteed to succeed, so resilience must also include preparation for post-catastrophe interventions [17]. Previous research has largely focused on food system resilience in the event of a nuclear war, including the identification of potential resilient food sources, such as cellulosic sugar [18], single-cell protein [19,20], and seaweed [21]. The nutritional value of these resilient foods, and thus their potential to replace conventional crops which would perish in a nuclear winter, has also been compared by Denkenberger and Pearce [22] and Pham et al. [23].

The non-food system effects of global catastrophes have been less well-studied. What non-food system research has been conducted has typically focused on whole energy systems, such as the effects of nuclear winter on renewable energy production [24] and global water infrastructure [25]. Assessments of converting existing infrastructure to increase catastrophe resilience at a small scale, such as at the household level, have so far been limited to the potential of light-duty vehicles to produce electricity and wood chips for gasification [26].

Alternative methods for space heating in cold climates following a GCIL event have been identified as a promising area of research [24,27]. However, no research has yet assessed such methods.

# 1.2. Contributions

This paper investigates the feasibility of converting household appliances to wood-burning stoves. A methodology is presented for the repurposing of an oven to function as a wood-burning stove, and calculations are presented to assess the scalability of such a conversion.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents background information on wood-burning stoves and requirements for suitable appliances; Section 3 describes the methods used to convert and test the oven; Section 4 presents the results of the oven conversion and testing; and Sections 5 and 6 present discussion and conclusions, respectively.

# 2. Background and Appliance Characteristics

Fireplaces heat buildings by burning wood or other combustible material. The dominant mode of heat transfer from fireplaces is thermal radiation, which travels in straight lines from the fireplace, and objects intersecting the flow path will absorb some or all of the thermal energy [28]. However, most of the heat from a fireplace does not heat the room, instead travelling up the chimney as smoke, limiting the thermal efficiency (the proportion of heat from the fireplace design, such as double-shell fireboxes, adjustable dampers, and glass doors [30].

In contrast to fireplaces, wood-burning stoves (hereafter "stoves") are separate from walls, allowing more heat to enter the room. A good stove efficiently transfers heat, is safe for indoor use, minimises smoke and particulate emissions, and is constructed from readily available materials. Conversely, fireplaces often require extensive structural modifications to houses, which would be impractical in emergency situations.

Wood burns at 593 °C during second-stage combustion, and at higher temperatures once charcoal is produced during third-stage combustion [31]. Many log-burning fireplaces have doors with tempered glass, which is heat resistant to 200 °C, relying on the doors being far enough from flames to remain below these temperatures [32]. Oven interiors are typically made of steel, with an enamel lining able to withstand temperatures up to 480 °C [33]. However, while most oven components can withstand sufficient temperatures, glass oven doors are not rated to combustion temperatures [32] and may require replacement with temperature-resistant materials, such as sheet metal.

Oven ownership varies worldwide, with high rates in Argentina (92%), Brazil (91%), and Europe (~85%), and lower rates in Asian countries, such as Japan (50%), mainland China (47%) and South Korea (36%) [34], likely due to cultural differences in cooking methods [35]. Thus, the conversion of ovens to wood-burning stoves may not be uniformly viable.

# 3. Methods

The methods used to convert a standard residential electrical oven to a wood-burning stove are described in Section 3.1. Testing of the converted oven and calculation of its efficiency for space heating are described in Section 3.2.

### 3.1. Oven Conversion

A used freestanding Simpson-brand oven and galvanised tie wire (16 gauge—1.6 mm diameter) were purchased in Christchurch, New Zealand, from local vendors. Corrugated iron was obtained from a scrap metal bin at the Mechanical Engineering Department at the University of Canterbury. All tools were supplied by the Mechanical Engineering Workshop at the University of Canterbury, consisting of the following: tin snips, pliers with wire cutters, screwdrivers, hammer, and nails.

In a GCIL scenario, sheet metal could be salvaged from fences, roofs, or the sides of an oven, and bent into a circular flue, then secured using tie wire through holes punched with a hammer and nail. A typical woodstove chimney has a diameter of approximately 12 cm [36], with a double wall required at junctions, such as where the chimney passes through a wall, ceiling, or window. This diameter could be achieved by using the sheet metal from the two sides of an oven, with an approximate length of 50 cm, giving a chimney diameter of approximately 15 cm, and a second inner chimney could be made to a diameter of approximately 12 cm.

For the prototype conversion, outer sheet metal and insulation were removed from the oven to increase heat transfer, and a flue was fabricated for smoke expulsion. Mechanical cutting methods requiring no electricity were employed, using tin snips and a chisel and hammer to modify metal parts and create a flue hole. The inner glass in the oven's glass door was replaced with sheet metal to withstand higher temperatures, which was secured between the inner and outer faces of the oven door.

The flue for the converted oven was constructed from double-layered corrugated iron, and holes were punched in the bottom of the oven with a hammer and nails to provide a draft. The flue was then connected to the oven by cutting a hole in the back of the oven, with a diameter equivalent to the inner pipe of the chimney.

The door of the oven was hinged at the bottom, so opening the door during operation would have caused undesired ventilation of smoke into the building. To minimise smoke ventilation, a cover was constructed with sheet metal removed from the back of the oven, which hooked over the top lip of the oven opening and covered the top half of the opening to minimise smoke released during stoking.

A diagram of the converted oven in its installed location is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Diagram of stove installation (L) and locations of the thermocouples on the chimney flue outlet during testing (R): (1) centre of flue; (2) outer flue; and (3) edge of window.

#### 3.2. Combustion and Efficiency Testing

The converted oven was tested in the University of Canterbury's Fire Laboratory. Three tests were conducted, which are summarised in Table 1:

- 1. Burning with the oven door closed.
- 2. Burning with the door open and a sheet metal cover placed over part of the door opening.
- 3. Burning with the door closed and drafts sealed.

Table 1	1.	Oven	configura	tions a	and	masses	of	wood	used	in	the	three	tests.
			<i>C</i> <sup>2</sup>										

Test Number	Configuration	Pine Wood Mass (kg)	Kindling Mass (kg)
1	Door closed, holes open	2.78	0.17
2	Door open, holes open	2.99	0.17
3	Door closed, drafts sealed	3.05	0

Three pieces of pine wood and some kindling, with wood masses shown in Table 1, were placed in the oven for each test, and a gas blowtorch was used to set the wood alight. The testing location was chosen to simulate the flue being placed through a window, with the glass replaced with sheet metal to determine whether the edge of the metal would heat up enough to damage a PVC window frame with a maximum temperature rating of 60 °C. Three thermocouple locations were used for the first two tests: (1) at the end of the flue in the middle to measure the flue gas temperature; (2) on the outer flue pipe near the window-flue interface; and (3) on a piece of sheet metal with the flue through it. The locations of these thermocouples are shown in Figure 1. For test 3, flue gas velocity was also measured, and the final mass of wood was recorded.

## Efficiency Calculations

The efficiency of combustion was calculated using the following equations, adapted for this purpose from those developed for the condensation of flue gases in boilers [37]. The lower heating value of a fuel,  $CV [kJkg^{-1}]$ , can be calculated using the Mendeleyev formula, where C, H, O, S, and W are the weight percentages of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, sulphur, and moisture content in the fuel, respectively:

$$CV = 338C + 1256H - 109(O - S) - 25(H + W)$$
(1)

Heat of the flue gas,  $Q_g$  [kJkg<sup>-1</sup>], can be estimated by separately calculating the heat of the water vapour and the dry flue gases. For the dry flue gas, this is equivalent to the sensible heat, where  $m_g$  is the mass of dry flue gases [kg],  $C_{p,g}$  is the specific heat of water vapour (1.08 kJkg<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>), T is the measured temperature of flue gases [°C], and  $T_{ref}$  is the reference temperature, which is set to the ambient temperature, as it is assumed the useful heat of the flue gas would be recovered from cooling it from its initial temperature to room temperature:

$$Q_g = m_g C_{p,g} (T - T_{ref})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The mass of dry flue gas,  $m_g$  [kg], can be calculated, where  $m_{fuel}$  is the mass of fuel burned [kg],  $\Phi$  is the excess air percentage,  $V_0$  is the volume of air required for combustion [m<sup>3</sup>], and  $m_{H2O}$  is the mass of water vapour [kg]:

$$m_{g} = m_{fuel}(1 + (1 + \Phi)V_{0} - m_{H2O})$$
(3)

The volume of air required for combustion,  $V_0$  [m<sup>3</sup>], and the mass of water vapour,  $m_{H20}$  [kg], can be calculated, where  $V_{H20}$  is the volume of water vapour formed by combustion [m<sup>3</sup>], and  $\rho_{H20}$  is the density of water vapour (0.804 kgm<sup>-3</sup>):

$$V_0 = 0.89(C + 0.37S) + 0.265H - 0.33O$$
(4)

$$m_{\rm H2O} = V_{\rm H2O}\rho_{\rm H2O} \tag{5}$$

The volume of water vapour formed by combustion,  $V_{H2O}$  [m<sup>3</sup>], can be calculated:

$$V_{\rm H2O} = 0.111\rm{H} + 0.0124\rm{W} + 0.0161(1 + \Phi/100)V_0$$
(6)

Heat from the water vapour is calculated by the sum of the sensible heat to cool the vapour to the dew point temperature, the latent heat to condense the vapour, and the sensible heat to cool the liquid water to its final temperature. The sensible heat for the vapour and liquid,  $Q_{s,H2O,vap}$  and  $Q_{s,H2O,liq}$  [kJkg<sup>-1</sup>], can be calculated, where  $C_{p,H2O,vap}$  and  $C_{p,H2O,liq}$  are the specific heat of water vapour and liquid water (1.865 and 4.18 kJkg<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), T is the measured temperature of the flue gases [°C],  $T_{dp}$  is the dew point temperature of the water vapour [°C], and  $T_{ref}$  is the reference temperature, which is set to the ambient temperature:

$$Q_{s,H2O,vap} = m_{H2O}C_{p,H2O,vap}(T - T_{dp})$$

$$\tag{7}$$

$$Q_{s,H2O,liq} = m_{H2O}C_{p,H2O,liq}(T - T_{ref})$$
(8)

The total latent heat from the water  $Q_{L,H2O}$  [kJkg<sup>-1</sup>] is given:

$$Q_{L,H2O} = m_{H2O} L_{H2O}$$
 (9)

In test 3, an MKS Baratron® Type 223B Pressure Transducer was used to measure the velocity in the centre of the end of the chimney. The average velocity across the cross-section at the end of the chimney,  $v_{avg}$  [ms<sup>-1</sup>], was calculated, where  $v_{ctr}$  is the velocity at the centre of the chimney [ms<sup>-1</sup>] and f is a unitless friction factor (0.045):

$$v_{\rm avg} = v_{\rm ctr} / (1 + 1.33 f^{0.5}) \tag{10}$$

The average temperature was assumed to be the temperature halfway between the centre of the cross-section and the edge, which was measured directly using a thermocouple, as shown in Figure 1. Heat out of the top of the chimney at each timestep was calculated using air density and the specific heat of air, and this result was integrated to find the total heat released through the chimney.

## 4. Results

A photograph of the final converted oven is shown in Figure 2. The full conversion, including gathering of materials and tools, took 10 days. However, if the materials and tools were readily available and at least two people were available for labour, it is estimated that the conversion could be completed within one day.



Figure 2. Photograph of the repurposed oven, showing the door opening, sheet metal chimney, and door with the inner glass pane replaced with sheet metal.

# Combustion and Efficiency Testing

During testing, most of the visible smoke travelled out of the flue. A photograph of the converted oven during testing is shown in Figure 3, and maximum temperature readings are shown in Table 2. The ambient temperature was measured as  $12 \,^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 3. Igniting the fire in the converted oven for test 3.

<b>Table 2.</b> Maximum temperatures	$(^{\circ}C)$	) of	parts of the converted	oven and	l surroundings	during	testing
	· ·		1		0	0	

Part of Furnace	Flue Gas Centre	Flue Gas Between Centre and Edge	tween Outside Surface of Edge Flue		
Test 1: Door closed	394.5	-	95	19.5	
Test 2: Door open, cover on	364.5	-	84.5	17.5	
Test 3: Door closed, more sealing	147	129.5	-	-	

The high temperatures of the flue gas in tests 1 and 2 indicate that a significant portion of the heat from combustion was lost through the flue. The additional sealing of gaps for test 3 slowed air flow and combustion, increasing the time

for heat transfer through the walls into the room. The double layering of the flue was effective, with the edge of the window remaining below 20 °C, well below PVC's 60 °C temperature limit.

Temperature profiles at the three measured locations for Tests 1 and 2 are shown in Figures 4 and 5. While temperature profiles were similar, overall temperatures were lower with the door closed (test 2).



Figure 4. Temperature readings during test 1 (burning with the door closed).



Figure 5. Temperature readings during test 2 (burning with the door open and the door opening covered).

Figure 6 shows the temperatures over time for test 3, in which gaps were filled to reduce unwanted ventilation during combustion. The burning time of test 3 was longer than tests 1 and 2, and the exhaust temperature was lower, as shown in Table 2.



Figure 6. Temperature readings for test 3 (increased sealing), in which 1.48 kg of the 3.05 kg of wood was burned.

Total heat released through the chimney during test 3 was calculated as 6.2 MJ, representing 22% of the heat of combustion. Typically, 10% of the initial energy is released in the form of soot, carbon monoxide, and other particles in smoke [38]. Thus, the overall useful efficiency of the converted oven in test 3 was calculated to be 68%. Since test 3 took 122 min to burn and 1.48 kg of wood, with an average energy content of 19 MJ/kg [39] consumed in combustion, the total heat energy produced was 28 MJ (3.8 kW average). With an efficiency of 68%, this yields an average of 2.6 kW of useful heat.

#### 5. Discussion

The successful conversion of an oven to a wood-burning stove indicates that such conversions may be a viable solution to mitigate the effects of heating loss in a GCIL scenario. While wood was successfully burned in all three tests, the additional sealing of gaps for test 3 increased the viability of the converted oven for space heating. With reduced ventilation, less oxygen entered the oven, allowing for a longer burning time, increasing the efficiency and overall usefulness of the converted oven as a wood-burning stove.

Average useful heat released to the room in test 3 was 2.6 kW. The average area of a house in the USA is approximately 232 m<sup>2</sup> [40], and the average heat required to maintain the entire house at a comfortable temperature in cold climates is over 30 kW [41], which is much larger than the heating capacity of the converted oven. Additionally, a GCIL event could result from a nuclear or volcanic winter, in which temperatures would decrease and energy supply would reduce [24], further increasing household heating requirements. Further, space heating requirements vary between households in the same neighbourhood [42,43], so heating requirements for some households may be even higher. However, many households, particularly those with limited ability to afford heating, do not heat their entire homes, opting instead to heat only a single room [44,45]. Thus, mitigating the effects of heating infrastructure loss in a GCIL event with converted ovens will require a combination of lower indoor temperatures, consolidation of household activities to areas near the stove, and/or consolidation of households and stoves into fewer houses.

### 5.1. Design Safety

Biomass combustion can release harmful substances, including particulate matter, carbon monoxide, nitrogen and sulphur oxides, and polycyclic organic compounds, which can lead to health problems including asthma [46], respiratory infections [47], cancer [48], and premature death [49]. Adequate ventilation is required to prevent the build-up of these substances from the operation of wood-burning stoves inside buildings [50]. While in catastrophic scenarios, these health concerns may be considered of secondary importance to the provision of heat, further research would be useful to determine whether the operation of converted ovens indoors is likely to pose health risks and how these can be mitigated.

Additionally, wood-burning stoves can pose fire risks to buildings, and standards exist to minimise these risks, including NFPA 211: Standard for Chimneys, Fireplaces, Vents, and Solid Fuel-Burning Appliances [51]. The safe and proper operation of a wood-burning stove depends on its contact points and clearances from combustible materials. Safe

operation of fireplaces includes ensuring sufficient insulation material or distance between combustible materials and the stove. If the floor is made of concrete, non-combustible materials with a fire-rating of 2 h or more, or properly stabilized earth, then it is safe to set stoves directly on the ground [51]. However, if the floor is made of combustible materials, a non-combustible surface must be placed on top, the thickness and material of which depend on the height of the stove legs.

While the converted oven does not have legs and thus is recommended not to be operated on a combustible floor, even with non-combustible masonry underneath, there is a drawer underneath the oven, which would raise the combustion chamber approximately 12 cm above the surface on which it rests (which, at this height, should be at least 4 inches (10.2 cm) of masonry [51]). Thus, if no non-combustible floors are present in a building that requires heating, the stove may be safely operated on combustible floors if other sufficient fire safety precautions are taken. Additionally, while many conventional ovens have drawers, legs could be added to ovens without drawers for fire safety. If the addition of legs is not possible, four bricks could be substituted for legs in dire circumstances, if the stove were operated on a stable surface and carefully monitored for fire safety.

### 5.2. On the Scalability of Oven Conversion

The results from previous sections show that a household oven can be successfully repurposed to function as a wood-burning stove. However, the effectiveness of replacing conventional residential heating in a GCIL scenario depends on the scalability of such a conversion, which could be limited by the number of ovens or the availability of tools or materials. This section estimates these resources' availability to inform the feasibility of widespread conversion of residential ovens following a GCIL scenario.

With a total global population of approximately 8.1 billion people [52] and an average household size of 3.45 people per household [53], the number of households worldwide is approximately 2.3 billion. Oven ownership varies widely between regions, with over 95% and under 15% of households owning an oven in the USA [54] and Africa [55], respectively. Using ownership rates of different regions [54–57], the population-weighted rate of oven ownership is estimated as 0.45 ovens per household globally. Thus, the total number of ovens available for repurposing is estimated to be approximately 1.1 billion.

Construction of the flue for this converted oven required 0.55 m<sup>2</sup> of sheet metal. In a GCIL, a new sheet metal supply is not expected to be sufficient to build flues for 1.1 billion ovens, so additional sheet metal may be required from the roofs. Houses with metal roofs comprise 18% of the housing stock [58], and the average house has a roof area of 200–250 m<sup>2</sup> [40,59], so the total area of sheet metal in residential roofs is approximately 100 billion m<sup>2</sup>. While the use of roofing metal for oven conversion would likely be undesirable to most homeowners, heating requirements in cold climates may outweigh this undesirability.

Many households have access to a hammer, nails, screwdrivers, and a ruler, and local sharing of these tools is expected to provide a sufficient supply for oven conversions. However, tin snips and wire cutters, which would also be required, are less common. With a global market for tin snips of 460 million USD per year [60], an average cost of 45 USD [61], and an average lifetime of around 10 years [62], the total number of tin snips globally is calculated to be approximately 100 million. Similarly, with a global market for wire cutters of 800 million USD [63], an average cost of 30 USD, and an average lifetime of around 10 years [64], the total number of wire cutters globally is calculated to be approximately 260 million.

With the transition from residential heating sources such as electricity and gas to wood, the global wood supply may become a limiting factor in the feasibility of converted ovens for residential heating. In test 3, the oven produced an average heat of 2.6 kW. With a duty cycle of 10% (*i.e.*, assuming heating is operational for a total of 10% of the year, as is typical [6]) and a total of 1.1 billion ovens, annual wood consumption for converted wood-burning stoves would be approximately 0.67 billion dry tons per year. Current global wood production is 2 billion dry tons per year [18], so the additional demand from converted ovens would represent a considerable, but likely manageable, increase. However, with a GCIL affecting other systems, such as food production, global demand for wood may increase in other areas, such as for wood gasification to power cars and heavy machinery [26].

Overall, large-scale conversion of ovens to wood-burning stoves is expected to be feasible, with the potential to mitigate the effects of heating loss in a GCIL scenario. However, such an undertaking would require effort across multiple areas of society, including the sharing of tools, acquisition of materials, coordination of labour, and provision of fuel to those in need. Furthermore, the distribution of the tools and materials required for the conversion and the fuel required for the operation of stoves varies between countries and regions.

International cooperation would be required for large-scale conversion to, and operation of, ovens as stoves, and advanced planning will increase the likelihood of success. However, it is important to note that GCIL could stem from international hostility, such as state-sponsored cyber-attacks, engineered pandemics, or the intentional detonation of high-altitude electromagnetic pulses (HEMPs), in which the likelihood of international cooperation would be reduced. Thus, while plans for international cooperation in GCIL events should be considered a top priority, individual countries should also prioritise national-level plans, such as investing in resilient or backup communications systems. Potential backup systems include shortwave or high-frequency radios [65] and satellites resilient to EMP, which could communicate directly to cellular phones.

# 5.3. Limitations and Future Work

In this work, the converted oven was tested in laboratory conditions, without measuring direct heat output or indoor pollution levels. While direct heat was not measured in these experiments, the equations used to calculate heat output from flue gas velocity and temperatures have been used in other peer-reviewed literature [37,66], and their results should be considered representative of those expected if direct heat output were measured instead. However, further research should investigate the use of converted ovens in real houses, with measurements of indoor temperatures and pollution levels.

Only one oven model, a Simpson-brand electric oven, was converted to a wood-burning stove and tested in this work. Thus, the generalisability of these results to other oven models, including those fuelled by propane or natural gas, is unknown. The oven model used in this work was chosen due to its simple design, which shares key characteristics with a wide range of other common oven models, so it is expected that the conversion process presented in this work would be widely applicable, and testing results would be representative of a range of oven models. However, further research on converting other common oven models to wood-burning stoves would provide a greater understanding of the generalisability of such conversions.

In this work, three tests were conducted, with a total burning time of approximately 3.8 h. While no material degradation or other damage from burning was observed during or after these tests, the burning period before the onset of degradation and the replacement intervals for converted ovens are unknown. Thus, the long-term viability of converted ovens for space heating is unknown. However, as no degradation was visible after the three tests conducted, it is expected the majority of converted ovens would be suitable for emergency space heating after a GCIL event, until the establishment of more permanent heating infrastructure, such as the re-instatement of electricity and/or natural gas supply networks or the fabrication of more durable stoves (e.g., stoves with thicker metal walls).

#### 6. Conclusions

This work investigates the repurposing of household ovens into wood-burning stoves as a heating solution following GCIL events, such as extreme solar storms, cyber-attacks, high-altitude electromagnetic pulses, or pandemics, which could result in widespread and prolonged disruptions to the energy supply. A used Simpson-brand residential oven was converted to a wood-burning stove through the removal of insulation and outer casing, and the fabrication of a simple double-walled chimney using readily available materials. The converted oven effectively transferred heat from burning logs to the interior of a testing room, with an average useful heat output of 2.6 kW. With approximately 1.1 billion ovens worldwide, sufficient materials, tools, and fuel are expected to be available for the large-scale conversion of ovens to wood-burning stoves for space heating, provided international collaboration allows for the provision of supplies where most needed. However, while converted ovens provide a practical and scalable solution to the widespread disruption of heating infrastructure, their heating capacity is lower than required to heat the entire standard house. Thus, it may be necessary to consolidate households in single buildings and/or prioritise the heating of single rooms. While these results show the viability of converting a common residential electrical oven to a wood-burning stove, further research is required to inform the generalisability of such a conversion to other oven types and the replacement intervals of components in the resulting stoves. Additionally, further testing should assess the operation of converted ovens in real households, rather than laboratory conditions, measuring indoor temperatures and pollution levels.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the University of Canterbury Fire Laboratory and especially Grant Dunlop. This work was funded in part by the Strategic Research Fund from the University of Canterbury.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization, D.D.; Methodology, L.J., M.R., D.D.; Investigation, L.J., M.R.; Resources, D.D.; Data Curation, B.K.-W.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, B.K.-W.; Writing—Review & Editing, L.J., M.R., B.K.-W., C.H., D.D.; Visualization, B.K.-W., C.H.; Supervision, B.K.-W., D.D.

# **Ethics Statement**

Not applicable.

# **Informed Consent Statement**

Not applicable.

# **Data Availability Statement**

Data will be made available on request.

# Funding

This research was funded by the Alliance to Feed the Earth in Disasters (ALLFED) and the University of Canterbury Strategic Research Fund.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- 1. Moersdorf J, Rivers M, Denkenberger D, Breuer L, Jehn FU. The Fragile State of Industrial Agriculture: Estimating Crop Yield Reductions in a Global Catastrophic Infrastructure Loss Scenario. *Glob. Chall.* **2024**, *8*, 2300206.
- 2. Bostrom N. Existential Risks: Analyzing Human Extinction Scenarios and Related Hazards. *J Evol. Technol.* **2002**, *9*. Available online: https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:827452c3-fcba-41b8-86b0-407293e6617c (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- Cole DD, Denkenberger D, Griswold M, Abdelkhaliq M, Pearce J. Feeding Everyone If Industry Is Disabled. In Proceedings of the IDRC DAVOS 2016 Integrative Risk Management-Towards Resilient Cities, Davos, Switzerland, 28 August–1 September 2016.
- 4. Mörsdorf J. Simulating Potential Yield If Industry Is Disabled: Applying a Generalized Linear Modelling Approach to Major Food Crops. Master Thesis, Justus-Liebig University, Giessen, Germany, 2021.
- 5. Denkenberger DC, Cole DD, Abdelkhaliq M, Griswold M, Hundley AB, Pearce JM. Feeding Everyone If the Sun Is Obscured and Industry Is Disabled. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* **2017**, *21*, 284–290.
- 6. Isaacs N, Camilleri M, Burrough L, Pollard A, Saville-Smith K, Fraser R, et al. Energy Use in New Zealand Households: Final Report on the Household Energy End-Use Project (Heep). *BRANZ Study Rep.* **2010**, *221*, 15–21.
- 7. United States Census Bureau. American Community Survey (ACS); U.S. Department of Commerce: Washington, DC, USA, 2022.
- 8. Zheng G, Bu W. Review of Heating Methods for Rural Houses in China. *Energies* 2018, 11, 3402.
- 9. Renaldi R, Hall R, Jamasb T, Roskilly AP. Experience Rates of Low-Carbon Domestic Heating Technologies in the United Kingdom. *Energy Policy* **2021**, *156*, 112387.
- 10. Fraboni R, Grazieschi G, Pezzutto S, Mitterrutzner B, Wilczynski E. Environmental Assessment of Residential Space Heating and Cooling Technologies in Europe: A Review of 11 European Member States. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 4288.
- 11. Havenith G, den Hartog E, Heus R. Moisture Accumulation in Sleeping Bags at -7 °C and -20 °C in Relation to Cover Material and Method of Use. *Ergonomics* **2004**, *47*, 1424–1431. doi:10.1080/00140130410001704428.
- 12. Kim H-K, Kang G-C, Moon J-P, Lee T-S, Oh S-S. Estimation of Thermal Performance and Heat Loss in Plastic Greenhouses with and without Thermal Curtains. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 578.
- Zhang X, Sun Z, Ashcroft T, Dozier M, Ostrishko K, Krishan P, et al. Compact Cities and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Systematic Review of the Associations between Transmission of COVID-19 or Other Respiratory Viruses and Population Density or Other Features of Neighbourhood Design. *Health Place* 2022, 76, 102827.
- 14. Pollitt MG. The Energy Market in Time of War; Center on Regulation in Europe (CERRE): Brussels, Belgium, 2022.
- 15. Zakeri B, Paulavets K, Barreto-Gomez L, Echeverri LG, Pachauri S, Boza-Kiss B, et al. Pandemic, War, and Global Energy Transitions. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 6114.

- 12 of 13
- 16. AS 1681-2002; Safety Requirements for Electrically Heated Type 1 Ovens in Which Flammable Volatiles Occur. Standards Australia: Sydney, Australia, 2002.
- Cotton-Barratt O, Daniel M, Sandberg A. Defence in Depth Against Human Extinction: Prevention, Response, Resilience, and Why They All Matter. *Glob Policy* 2020, 11, 271–282. doi:10.1111/1758-5899.12786.
- 18. Throup J, Martínez JBG, Bals B, Cates J, Pearce JM, Denkenberger DC. Rapid Repurposing of Pulp and Paper Mills, Biorefineries, and Breweries for Lignocellulosic Sugar Production in Global Food Catastrophes. *Food Bioprod. Process.* **2022**, *131*, 22–39.
- 19. Martínez JBG, Egbejimba J, Throup J, Matassa S, Pearce JM, Denkenberger DC. Potential of Microbial Protein from Hydrogen for Preventing Mass Starvation in Catastrophic Scenarios. *Sustain. Prod. Consum.* **2021**, *25*, 234–247.
- 20. García Martínez JB, Pearce JM, Throup J, Cates J, Lackner M, Denkenberger DC. Methane Single Cell Protein: Potential to Secure a Global Protein Supply against Catastrophic Food Shocks. *Front Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *10*, 906704.
- 21. Jehn FU, Dingal FJ, Mill A, Harrison C, Ilin E, Roleda MY, et al. Seaweed as a Resilient Food Solution after a Nuclear War. *Earths Future* **2024**, *12*, e2023EF003710.
- 22. Denkenberger D, Pearce JM. Micronutrient Availability in Alternative Foods During Agricultural Catastrophes. *Agriculture* **2018**, *8*, 169. doi:10.3390/agriculture8110169.
- 23. Pham A, García Martínez JB, Brynych V, Stormbjorne R, Pearce JM, Denkenberger DC. Nutrition in Abrupt Sunlight Reduction Scenarios: Envisioning Feasible Balanced Diets on Resilient Foods. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 492.
- 24. Varne AR, Blouin S, Williams BLM, Denkenberger D. The Impact of Abrupt Sunlight Reduction Scenarios on Renewable Energy Production. *Energies* **2024**, *17*, 5147.
- 25. Williams B, Feng X, Lamilla Cuellar J, Peterson R, Denkenberger D. Protection of Subterranean Water Infrastructure in an Abrupt Sunlight Reduction Scenario 2025. Available online: https://eartharxiv.org/repository/view/9098/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 26. Williams BLM, Croft H, Hunt J, Viloria J, Sherman N, Oliver J, et al. Wood Gasification in Catastrophes: Electricity Production from Light-Duty Vehicles. *Energy Eng.* **2025**, *122*, 1–10. doi:10.32604/ee.2025.063276.
- 27. García Martínez JB, Behr J, Pearce J, Denkenberger D. Resilient Foods for Preventing Global Famine: A Review of Food Supply Interventions for Global Catastrophic Food Shocks Including Nuclear Winter and Infrastructure Collapse. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2025**, *65*, 1–27. doi:10.1080/10408398.2024.2431207.
- 28. Kanury AM. Heat Transfer Analysis of a Domestic, Wood-Burning, "Heat-Circulating" Fireplace. Energy 1979, 4, 277–285.
- 29. Martinopoulos G, Papakostas KT, Papadopoulos AM. A Comparative Review of Heating Systems in EU Countries, Based on Efficiency and Fuel Cost. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2018**, *90*, 687–699.
- 30. Modera MP, Sonderegger RC. *Determination of In-Situ Performance of Fireplaces*; Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL): Berkeley, CA, USA, 1980.
- 31. Vogel M. *Heating with Wood: Principles of Combustion*; Human resource development-Montana State University, Cooperative Extension Service (USA): Montguide, MT, USA, 1984.
- Eurostove. WF 15, 16, 20 and 21 Glass. 2023. Available online: https://www.eurostove.co.uk/wf-15-glass (accessed on 2 May 2025).
   GE Appliances. Wall Ovens and Ranges—Types of Oven Interiors. 2023. Available online:
- GE Appliances. Wall Ovens and Ranges—Types of Oven Interiors. 2023. Available onl https://products.geappliances.com/appliance/gea-support-search-content?contentId=18269 (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 34. Statista. Household Ownership Rate of Major Appliances in Selected Countries Worldwide in 2023. 2024. Available online: https://www.statista.com/statistics/1117972/major-appliances-ownership-selected-countries/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 35. Cabeza LF, Ürge-Vorsatz D, Palacios A, Ürge D, Serrano S, Barreneche C. Trends in Penetration and Ownership of Household Appliances. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2018**, *82*, 4044–4059.
- 36. Ayo SA. Design, Construction and Testing of an Improved Wood Stove. Assumpt. Univ. (AU) J. Technol. 2009, 13, 168–181.
- 37. Elshamy A. Condensation of Flue Gases in Boilers. J. Sci. Technol. 2006, 11, 185-190.
- Kocbach Bølling A, Pagels J, Yttri KE, Barregard L, Sallsten G, Schwarze PE, et al. Health Effects of Residential Wood Smoke Particles: The Importance of Combustion Conditions and Physicochemical Particle Properties. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 2009, 6, 29. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-6-29.
- 39. Pham X-H, Piriou B, Salvador S, Valette J, Van de Steene L. Oxidative Pyrolysis of Pine Wood, Wheat Straw and Miscanthus Pellets in a Fixed Bed. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2018**, *178*, 226–235.
- 40. Statista. Median Size of Single-Family Housing Unit in the United States from 2000 to 2022. 2023. Available online: https://www.statista.com/statistics/529371/floor-area-size-new-single-family-homes-usa/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 41. Learn Metrics HVAC Systems. Heating BTU Calculator: How Many BTUs Per Square Foot? 2023. Available online: https://learnmetrics.com/heating-btu-calculator/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 42. Williams BLM, Hooper RJ, Gnoth D, Chase JG. Residential Electricity Demand Modelling: Validation of a Behavioural Agent-Based Approach. *Energies* **2025**, *18*, 1314. doi:10.3390/en18061314.
- 43. Kamana-Williams BLM, Gnoth D, Hooper RJ, Chase JG. A Generalisable Agent-Based Model of Residential Electricity Demand for Load Forecasting and Demand Response Management. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.* 2025, *168*, 110671. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2025.110671.

- 44. Howden-Chapman P, Viggers H, Chapman R, O'Dea D, Free S, O'Sullivan K. Warm Homes: Drivers of the Demand for Heating in the Residential Sector in New Zealand. *Energy Policy* **2009**, *37*, 3387–3399. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2008.12.023.
- Kamana-Williams B, Hooper RJ, Silk J, Gnoth D, Chase JG. Peak Loads, Health, and Energy Equality: The Effects of Demand-Side Electricity Efficiency Interventions 2025. Available online: https://eartharxiv.org/repository/view/9211/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 46. Mishra V. Effect of Indoor Air Pollution from Biomass Combustion on Prevalence of Asthma in the Elderly. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2003**, *111*, 71–78.
- 47. Ezzati M, Kammen DM. Indoor Air Pollution from Biomass Combustion and Acute Respiratory Infections in Kenya: An Exposure-Response Study. *Lancet* 2001, *358*, 619–624.
- 48. Sarigiannis DA, Karakitsios SP, Zikopoulos D, Nikolaki S, Kermenidou M. Lung Cancer Risk from PAHs Emitted from Biomass Combustion. *Environ. Res.* 2015, *137*, 147–156.
- 49. de Koning HW, Smith KR, Last JM. Biomass Fuel Combustion and Health. Bull. World Health Organ. 1985, 63, 11.
- 50. Vicente ED, Alves CA. An Overview of Particulate Emissions from Residential Biomass Combustion. *Atmos. Res.* **2018**, *199*, 159–185.
- 51. National Fire Protection Association. NFPA 211: Standard for Chimneys, Fireplaces, Vents, and Solid Fuel-Burning Appliances. 2022 Edition; National Fire Protection Association (NFPA): Quincy, MA, USA, 2022.
- 52. Worldometer. Current World Population. 2024. Available online: https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/world-population-by-year/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 53. World Population Review. Family Size by Country 2024. 2024. Available online: https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/family-size-by-country (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 54. U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) Data; U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA): Washington, DC, USA, 2016.
- 55. African Development Bank. A Comparison of Real Household Consumption Expenditures and Price Levels in Africa; African Development Bank: Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, 2012.
- 56. National Bureau of Statistics of China. *China Statistical Yearbook 2020*; National Bureau of Statistics of China: Beijing, China, 2021.
- 57. United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean. *Main Figures of Latin American and the Caribbean*; United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC): Santiago, Chile, 2024.
- McElroy Metal. Why 18% of Homeowners Choose Metal Roofing. 2023. Available online: https://blog.mcelroymetal.com/metalroofing-and-siding/why-18-percent-of-homeowners-choose-metal-roofing (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 59. Statistics New Zealand. *New Zealand Census of Population and Dwellings*; Statistics New Zealand: Wellington, New Zealand, 2018.
- 60. Maximize Market Research. Tin Snips Tool Market: Industry Analysis and Forecast (2023–2029). 2022. Available online: https://www.maximizemarketresearch.com/market-report/tin-snips-tool-market/216573/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 61. DepreciationRates.net. ATO Depreciation Rates 2021: Oven. 2021. Available online: https://www.depreciationrates.net.au/oven (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 62. Steetz. Stubai Combination Tin Snips with Finger Guard. 2024. Available online: https://steetz.com/shop/combination-tinsnips-with-finger-guard/ (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- Valuates Reports. Wire Cutter Market, Report Size, Worth, Revenue, Growth, Industry Value, Share 2024. 2024. Available online: https://reports.valuates.com/market-reports/QYRE-Auto-33M7872/global-wire-clippers (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 64. Lauzier J. Identify and Reduce Tool Wear to Improve Quality. 2024. Available online: https://www.machinemetrics.com/blog/toolwear (accessed on 2 May 2025).
- 65. Denkenberger D, Sandberg A, Tieman RJ, Pearce JM. Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness of Interventions for Loss of Electricity/Industry Compared to Artificial General Intelligence Safety. *Eur. J. Futures Res.* 2021, *9*, 11.
- 66. Dinca C. Critical Parametric Study of Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustion with CO<sub>2</sub> Chemical Absorption Process Using Different Aqueous Alkanolamines. J. Clean. Prod. **2016**, 112, 1136–1149.