

Navigable Genome Engineering: Stepwise Correlation for Precision-Guided Optimization of Microbial Cell Factory Phenotypes

Xinyu Yu ¹, Jia Guo ¹, Jiacheng Sun ¹ and Chong Zhang ^{1,2,*}

¹ MOE Key Laboratory for Industrial Biocatalysis, Institute of Biochemical Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; yu-xy22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (X.Y.); guojia22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (J.G.); 925031177@qq.com (J.S.)

² Center for Synthetic and Systems Biology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

* Corresponding author. E-mail: chongzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn (C.Z.)

Received: 20 December 2024; Revised: 19 February 2025; Accepted: 10 March 2025; Available online: 17 March 2025

ABSTRACT: Microbial cell factories, akin to “chips” in biomanufacturing, concentrate the most intricate scientific challenges, technical bottlenecks, and densest intellectual property. However, despite extensive efforts in rational engineering, the inherent complexity of biological systems and the limited knowledge of their underlying mechanisms still incur substantial trial-and-error costs. This Perspective seeks to explore the potential of a prior-knowledge-independent approach for optimizing microbial cell factory phenotypes. We discuss the feasibility of stepwise genotypic navigation in genome engineering and emphasize its ability to generate high-quality genotype–phenotype association data, thereby advancing AI-assisted genome modeling and further enabling precision-guided optimization.

Keywords: Microbial cell factories; Phenotypic optimization; Genome engineering; Stepwise genotypic navigation



© 2025 The authors. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Synthetic biology has revolutionized industrial biotechnology by enabling the rational design of microbial cell factories for specific applications. However, optimizing complex industrially relevant phenotypes—such as enhanced substrate utilization, productivity, and robustness—remains a significant challenge due to the limited understanding of cellular regulatory and metabolic networks. This knowledge gap constrains our ability to modulate these systems to achieve desired outcomes precisely.

1. Advances and Limitations of Global Mutagenesis in Phenotypic Optimization

Recent developments in *in vivo* global mutagenesis technologies have significantly enhanced the capacity for genome-wide diversification, driving the rapid evolution of microbial strains with improved phenotypes [1,2]. However, such global strategies are inherently limited in their ability to resolve genotype–phenotype relationships. Despite the integration of advanced multi-omics technologies [3], the prevalence of non-contributory mutations significantly complicates the process, rendering the functional validation of candidate mutations labor-intensive and reliant on extensive trial-and-error experimentation to determine their relevance to target traits. Consequently, global mutagenesis approaches are highly inefficient in exploring the vast genome sequence space, generating sparse datasets with minimal actionable insights. These limitations severely constrain their ability to guide the further optimization of microbial cell factory phenotypes, underscoring the need for more targeted and precise methods to navigate genotype–phenotype landscapes.

2. Inspiration from Protein Evolution: Sequence Space Compression

The concept of sequence space compression [4] in protein engineering provides a valuable framework for addressing the complexity of genome-scale evolutionary engineering. Protein evolution is inherently constrained by the vast, high-dimensional fitness landscape, which remains computationally and experimentally intractable for exhaustive

exploration [5]. For instance, a small protein with 100 amino acids presents an astronomical sequence space of 20^{100} ($\sim 10^{130}$) at the amino acid level, which expands to 4^{300} ($\sim 10^{181}$) at the nucleotide level. Current directed evolution methods are capable of identifying isolated high-fitness sequences; however, they probe only a minute fraction of this complex landscape, leaving its structural principles and functional relationships largely unexplored. To address this challenge, an evolutionary scanning method, EvoScan, has recently been developed by replacing the global mutagenesis tool MP6 in phage-assisted evolution with the targeted and segmented mutagenesis system, EvolvR. This innovation allows for systematic segmentation and exhaustive scanning of the protein sequence space, enabling the identification of high-fitness sequence anchors. By integrating deep learning and large language models, EvoScan further facilitates the exploration of genotype–phenotype relationships within high-dimensional mutational combinations. These advancements significantly enhance the capacity for rational protein function design, bridging the gap between directed evolution and computational predictive models.

Applying sequence space compression to the genome extends the principles of protein evolution to address an even larger and more complex sequence landscape. For instance, a bacterial genome of 3000 genes theoretically presents a combinatorial space of $\sim 10^{1431}$ if each gene is modeled in three functional states (unchanged, upregulated, or downregulated). Most genetic changes, however, have negligible effects on target phenotypes. Thus, by identifying key functional genes strongly associated with phenotypes of interest (POIs)—referred to as gene-level anchors—and excluding genes with lower associations, the dimensionality of the genotype space can be significantly reduced (Figure 1). This facilitates computational prediction of optimal combinatorial modifications, which can subsequently be refined through *in situ* mutagenesis to identify base-level anchors at single-nucleotide resolution.




Figure 1. Schematic representation of navigable genome engineering. This concept involves identifying gene-level anchors through functional genomics screens, exemplified in the figure by genome-scale CRISPR screening, and base-level anchors through *in situ* mutagenesis, illustrated with the MutaT7 technology. These anchors provide highly relevant mutation data for AI-assisted genome modeling, enabling precision-guided optimization of microbial cell factory phenotypes.

3. Navigating Gene-Level Anchors

Mapping POIs from whole-genome sequences to gene-level anchors aligns with the core objective of functional genomics screens [6]—identifying genes that contribute to a phenotype. However, to effectively compress and reconstruct the genome sequence space for POI optimization, it is imperative to go beyond individual gene associations and emphasize gene–gene interactions (epistasis). The key challenge is understanding how simultaneous perturbations across multiple genes influence a phenotype, a critical requirement for capturing the functional complexity of the genome.

Traditional approaches such as knockout collections, overexpression libraries, RNA interference (RNAi), and transposon sequencing (Tn-seq) have provided significant insights into gene function. However, these methods are not inherently designed for systematic multi-gene combination analysis.

- RNAi: Often suffers from off-target effects, reducing precision.
- Overexpression libraries: Limited by vector capacity, hindering scalability.
- Knockout/Tn-seq: Primarily focus on single-gene disruptions, failing to capture combinatorial effects.

Recent advances in CRISPR-mediated genome perturbation have significantly expanded the scope and precision of genetic screens [7,8]. CRISPR tools now enable:

- Gene KO screens (CRISPR-Cas)
- Gene activation and interference screens (CRISPRa/i)
- Prematurely terminated ORF screens (Base editor) [9]
- Gene overexpression screens (CRISPR-associated transposons) [10]

These CRISPR-based methods are particularly well-suited for integration with high-throughput sequencing, as the single guide RNA (sgRNA) serves a dual role—targeting specific genes while also acting as a DNA barcode. This functionality is critical for simplifying and enabling multi-gene perturbation studies using combinatorial sgRNA libraries.

Currently, most genome-wide CRISPR screens rely on single-sgRNA libraries, which have been successfully used to study industrially relevant phenotypes such as chemical tolerance, phage resistance, and metabolite or protein overproduction [11]. Notably, many loci identified through these screens have shown substantial effects on production outcomes, rivaling pathway-specific genes. This underscores the importance of systematically identifying gene-level anchors as key genomic loci influencing phenotype performance. Emerging multi-sgRNA approaches [12,13] offer theoretical potential to advance this framework by enabling the randomized combination of top-performing sgRNAs identified in single-sgRNA pooled screens. This strategy facilitates multi-gene perturbation and generates comprehensive combinatorial datasets, which are essential for training AI-assisted models to reconstruct the high-dimensional genome sequence space under specific POIs.

4. Navigating Base-Level Anchors

Identifying base-level anchors involves *in situ* mutagenesis to refine gene-level findings and uncover critical nucleotide changes influencing POIs (Figure 2). This approach resembles early multiplex automated genome engineering (MAGE) [14], which introduced targeted mutations into pathway-specific genes to optimize traits such as lycopene biosynthesis. However, unlike traditional methods focused solely on genes within known pathways, the strategy proposed here identifies mutational targets from genome-wide gene-level anchors associated with POIs.

Despite its utility, MAGE suffers from low ssDNA incorporation efficiency and operational challenges associated with continuous mutagenesis, leading to sparse datasets for base-level mutations.




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of representative discontinuous and continuous *in situ* mutagenesis techniques. Abbreviations include: SSB (single-stranded binding protein), ss-oligos (single-stranded oligos), ssDNA (single-stranded DNA).

Recent advancements have introduced alternative systems to overcome these limitations:

- CREATE [15] (CRISPR-enabled trackable genome engineering): CREATE integrates CRISPR-directed DNA cleavage with mutation-bearing donor recombination, offering a more efficient and trackable approach to genome engineering.
- Retron-based ssDNA recombineering [16]: Retron systems facilitate the endogenous synthesis of ssDNA, addressing the low efficiency of traditional MAGE. This approach enables the simultaneous editing of up to four loci in *E. coli*, providing a scalable and efficient platform for multi-site genome editing [17].

Despite these advancements, recombineering-based approaches remain inherently constrained by their reliance on pre-generated mutant donors (e.g., synthetic ssDNA or dsDNA templates), leading to a fundamentally discontinuous process. Even with the implementation of automated transformation systems, these methods demonstrate low efficiency in generating high-dimensional mutational datasets spanning gene-level anchors.

Base editor screens [18] encounter analogous limitations. Although their mutagenesis mechanisms are fundamentally distinct from recombineering, the mutational range of base editors is intrinsically restricted by the narrow window specified by sgRNA sequences. Practically, this imposes a form of discontinuous evolution, which severely limits scalability and impedes the systematic exploration of complex mutational landscapes.

Emerging continuous evolution tools such as EvolvR [19], MutaT7 [20], CoMuTER [21], HACE [22] provide promising alternatives, enabling localized but continuous mutagenesis across multiple loci:

- EvolvR: Utilizes a fusion of nicking Cas9 (nCas9) with an error-prone DNA polymerase to introduce mutations within a defined window of approximately 56 nucleotides near the targeted site.
- MutaT7: Employs a fusion of T7 RNA polymerase with a deaminase to induce mutations in regions downstream of T7 promoters.
- CoMuTER: Leverages a fusion of Cas3 helicase/nuclease with a deaminase to unwind DNA and introduce mutations over extensive regions, up to 55 kilobases.
- HACE: Utilizes nCas9 to recruit a helicase-deaminase fusion enzyme, enabling locus-specific mutagenesis across regions exceeding 1000 base pairs.

The processive mutagenic capability serves as the core feature enabling continuous evolution in these tools. However, significant challenges remain, including the limited mutation window of tools like EvolvR, which restricts comprehensive coverage of gene loci; the narrow mutational spectrum inherent to deaminase-based mechanisms, which primarily induce base transitions; and the difficulty of scaling these systems for genome-wide applications, particularly in achieving precise and efficient multi-locus targeting. An ideal mutagenesis system would address these limitations by balancing an expanded mutation window, enhanced efficiency, increased mutational diversity, and robust multiplexing capabilities, thereby enabling the generation of high-dimensional mutation datasets essential for the compression and reconstruction of a genome sequence space.

5. AI-Assisted Sequence Space Reconstruction

Machine learning models have been widely applied in metabolic engineering to optimize pathway configurations, linking combinatorial modifications (e.g., promoter and ribosome binding site tuning) to production outcomes [23]. Applying this principle to genome-wide optimization, AI-assisted reconstruction can predict the combinatorial effects of gene-level anchors on POIs. Furthermore, multiplexed continuous evolution of top-scoring gene combinations has the potential to generate high-dimensional base-level mutational datasets, which can be leveraged to reconstruct the sequence space and guide navigation toward improved POIs. Compared to datasets generated through random mutagenesis, the high-correlation datasets derived from stepwise navigation of gene- and base-level anchors provide a more robust and structured foundation for predictive modeling and phenotype optimization.

It is critical to distinguish that protein sequence space compression operates at the amino acid level, linking “mutation combinations” to “functional changes” within a single protein. In contrast, POI-driven genome sequence space compression functions at the DNA level, correlating “mutation combinations” across multiple gene-level anchors to “changes in POIs”. AI models have already demonstrated success in capturing complex patterns in viral and bacterial genomes with single-nucleotide resolution [24,25], underscoring their potential to navigate and optimize high-dimensional genomic landscapes.

6. Conclusions and Outlook

The proposed concept of stepwise genotypic navigation integrates sequence space compression via multiplexed genome perturbation and mutagenesis with AI-assisted modeling. By navigating complex cell factory phenotypes to key functional genes and mutations, and exploring their interactions with the aid of AI, this approach holds promise for providing rapid guidance in the construction and optimization of cell factories. While current limitations in genome-wide multiplexing and high-dimensional data acquisition pose significant challenges, existing technologies offer a pathway for incremental progress. By leveraging sparse but high-correlation datasets, this approach establishes a foundation for the rational engineering of microbial strains tailored for industrial applications. Future advances in continuous evolution tools and machine learning will further enhance the precision and scalability of this strategy, transforming the landscape of cell factory engineering.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the laboratory for helpful discussions.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, C.Z.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, X.Y.; Writing—Review & Editing, J.G. and J.S.; Project Administration, C.Z.; Funding Acquisition, C.Z.

Ethics Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Funding

This research received funding from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2023YFC3402300), National Natural Science Foundation of China (U2032210), R&D projects in key areas of Guangdong Province (2022B1111050002) and the R&D projects of Hebei Province (22375503D).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

1. Wu YN, Jameel A, Xing XH, Zhang C. Advanced strategies and tools to facilitate and streamline microbial adaptive laboratory evolution. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2022**, *40*, 38–59.
2. Simon AJ, d’Oelsnitz S, Ellington AD. Synthetic evolution. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 730–743.
3. Yang YF, Qiu MY, Yang Q, Wang Y, Wei H, Yang SH. Connecting Microbial Genotype with Phenotype in the Omics Era. *Metab. Pathw. Eng.* **2020**, *2096*, 217–233.
4. Ma ZY, Li WJ, Shen YH, Xu YX, Liu GJ, Chang JM, et al. EvoAI enables extreme compression and reconstruction of the protein sequence space. *Nat. Methods* **2024**, *22*, 102–112.
5. Papkou A, Garcia-Pastor L, Escudero JA, Wagner A. A rugged yet easily navigable fitness landscape. *Science* **2023**, *382*, 6673.
6. Przybyla L, Gilbert LA. A new era in functional genomics screens. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2022**, *23*, 89–103.
7. Teng YX, Jiang T, Yan YJ. The expanded CRISPR toolbox for constructing microbial cell factories. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2024**, *42*, 104–118.
8. Shalem O, Sanjana NE, Zhang F. High-throughput functional genomics using CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2015**, *16*, 299–311.

9. Liu Y, Wang RY, Liu JH, Lu H, Li HR, Wang Y, et al. Base editor enables rational genome-scale functional screening for enhanced industrial phenotypes in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, 35.
10. Banta AB, Myers KS, Ward RD, Cuellar RA, Place M, Freeh CC, et al. A Targeted Genome-scale Overexpression Platform for Proteobacteria. *bioRxiv* **2024**. doi: 10.1101/2024.03.01.582922.
11. Sun L, Zheng P, Sun J, Wendisch VF, Wang Y. Genome-scale CRISPRi screening: A powerful tool in engineering microbiology. *Eng. Microbiol.* **2023**, *3*, 100089–100089.
12. Wu YK, Li Y, Jin K, Zhang LP, Li JH, Liu YF, et al. CRISPR-dCas12a-mediated genetic circuit cascades for multiplexed pathway optimization. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2023**, *19*, 367.
13. Yin JA, Frick L, Scheidmann MC, Liu TT, Trevisan C, Dhingra A, et al. Arrayed CRISPR libraries for the genome-wide activation, deletion and silencing of human protein-coding genes. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* **2025**, *9*, 127–148.
14. Wang HH, Isaacs FJ, Carr PA, Sun ZZ, Xu G, Forest CR, et al. Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution. *Nature* **2009**, *460*, 894.
15. Garst AD, Bassalo MC, Pines G, Lynch SA, Halweg-Edwards AL, Liu RM, et al. Genome-wide mapping of mutations at single-nucleotide resolution for protein, metabolic and genome engineering. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *35*, 48–55.
16. Liu W, Pan Y, Zhang Y, Dong C, Huang L, Lian J. Intracellularly synthesized ssDNA for continuous genome engineering. *Trends Biotech.* **2024**, *7799*, 293. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2024.10.011.
17. Liu WQ, Zuo SQ, Shao YR, Bi K, Zhao JR, Huang L, et al. Retron-mediated multiplex genome editing and continuous evolution in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *51*, 8293–8307.
18. Xu P, Liu ZH, Liu Y, Ma HZ, Xu YY, Bao Y, et al. Genome-wide interrogation of gene functions through base editor screens empowered by barcoded sgRNAs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2021**, *39*, 1403.
19. Halperin SO, Tou CJ, Wong EB, Modavi C, Schaffer DV, Dueber JE. CRISPR-guided DNA polymerases enable diversification of all nucleotides in a tunable window. *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 248.
20. Moore CL, Papa LJ, Shoulders MD. A Processive Protein Chimera Introduces Mutations across Defined DNA Regions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 11560–11564.
21. Zimmermann A, Prieto-Vivas JE, Cautereels C, Gorkovskiy A, Steensels J, Van de Peer Y, et al. A Cas3-base editing tool for targetable *in vivo* mutagenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 3389.
22. Chen XD, Chen Z, Wythes G, Zhang Y, Orr BC, Sun G, et al. Helicase-assisted continuous editing for programmable mutagenesis of endogenous genomes. *Science* **2024**, *386*, 6718.
23. Deng HX, Yu H, Deng YW, Qiu YL, Li FF, Wang XR, et al. Pathway Evolution Through a Bottlenecking-Debottlenecking Strategy and Machine Learning-Aided Flux Balancing. *Adv. Sci.* **2024**, *11*, e2306935.
24. Nguyen E, Poli M, Durrant MG, Kang B, Katrekar D, Li DB, et al. Sequence modeling and design from molecular to genome scale with Evo. *Science* **2024**, *386*, eado9336.
25. Shao B, Yan J. A long-context language model for deciphering and generating bacteriophage genomes. *Nat. Commun.* **2024**, *15*, 9392–9392.